
Systems, Networks & Concurrency 2020



2

Mutual Exclusion

Uwe R. Zimmer - The Australian National University



Mutual Exclusion

References for this chapter

[Ben-Ari06]

M. Ben-Ari

Principles of Concurrent and Distributed Programming

2006, second edition, Prentice-Hall, ISBN 0-13-711821-X



Mutual Exclusion

Problem specification

The general mutual exclusion scenario

- N processes execute (infinite) instruction sequences concurrently. Each instruction belongs to either a *critical* or *non-critical* section.
- ☞ Safety property '**Mutual exclusion**':
 - Instructions from *critical sections* of two or more processes must never be interleaved!
- More required properties:
 - **No deadlocks**: If one or multiple processes try to enter their critical sections then *exactly one* of them *must succeed*.
 - **No starvation**: *Every process* which tries to enter one of his critical sections *must succeed eventually*.
 - **Efficiency**: The decision which process may enter the critical section must be made *efficiently* in all cases, i.e. also when there is no contention in the first place.



Mutual Exclusion

Problem specification

The general mutual exclusion scenario

- N processes execute (infinite) instruction sequences concurrently. Each instruction belongs to either a *critical* or *non-critical* section.

☞ Safety property '**Mutual exclusion**':

Instructions from *critical sections* of two or more processes must never be interleaved!

- Further assumptions:
 - Pre- and post-protocols *can be executed* before and after each critical section.
 - Processes *may delay infinitely* in **non-critical** sections.
 - Processes do *not delay infinitely* in **critical** sections.



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Atomic load & store operations

Atomic load & store operations

- Assumption 1: every individual base memory cell (word) load and store access is *atomic*
- Assumption 2: there is *no* atomic combined load-store access

`G : Natural := 0; -- assumed to be mapped on a 1-word cell in memory`

`task body P1 is`

`begin`

`G := 1`

`G := G + G;`

`end P1;`

`task body P2 is`

`begin`

`G := 2`

`G := G + G;`

`end P2;`

`task body P3 is`

`begin`

`G := 3`

`G := G + G;`

`end P3;`

- What is the value of G?



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Atomic load & store operations

Atomic load & store operations

- Assumption 1: every individual base memory cell (word) load and store access is *atomic*
- Assumption 2: there is *no* atomic combined load-store access

`G : Natural := 0; -- assumed to be mapped on a 1-word cell in memory`

`task body P1 is`

`begin`

`G := 1`

`G := G + G;`

`end P1;`

`task body P2 is`

`begin`

`G := 2`

`G := G + G;`

`end P2;`

`task body P3 is`

`begin`

`G := 3`

`G := G + G;`

`end P3;`

- After the first global initialisation, `G` can have **almost any value** between 0 and 24
- After the first global initialisation, `G` will have **exactly one** value between 0 and 24
- After all tasks terminated, `G` will have **exactly one** value between 2 and 24



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: First attempt

```
type Task-Token is mod 2;
Turn: Task-Token := 0;

task body P0 is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_0;
    loop exit when Turn = 0; end loop;
    ----- critical_section_0;
    Turn := Turn + 1;
  end loop;
end P0;
```

```
task body P1 is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_1;
    loop exit when Turn = 1; end loop;
    ----- critical_section_1;
    Turn := Turn + 1;
  end loop;
end P1;
```

- ☞ Mutual exclusion?
- ☞ Deadlock?
- ☞ Starvation?
- ☞ Work without contention?



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: First attempt

```
type Task-Token is mod 2;
Turn: Task-Token := 0;

task body P0 is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_0;
    loop exit when Turn = 0; end loop;
    ----- critical_section_0;
    Turn := Turn + 1;
  end loop;
end P0;
```

```
task body P1 is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_1;
    loop exit when Turn = 1; end loop;
    ----- critical_section_1;
    Turn := Turn + 1;
  end loop;
end P1;
```

☞ Mutual exclusion!

☞ No deadlock!

☞ No starvation!

☞ Locks up, if there is no contention!



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: First attempt

```
type Task-Token is mod 2;
Turn: Task-Token := 0;

task body P0 is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_0;
    loop exit when Turn = 0; end loop;
    ----- critical_section_0;
    Turn := Turn + 1;
  end loop;
end P0;
```

```
task body P1 is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_1;
    loop exit when Turn = 1; end loop;
    ----- critical_section_1;
    Turn := Turn + 1;
  end loop;
end P1;
```

➡ Mutual exclusion!

➡ No deadlock!

➡ No starvation!

➡ Inefficient!

scatter:

```
if Turn = myTurn then
  Turn := Turn + 1;
end if
```

into the non-critical sections



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Second attempt

```
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);
```

```
C1, C2: Critical_Section_State := Out_CS;
```

```
task body P1 is
```

```
begin
```

```
  loop
```

```
    ----- non_critical_section_1;
```

```
    loop
```

```
      exit when C2 = Out_CS;
```

```
    end loop;
```

```
    C1 := In_CS;
```

```
    ----- critical_section_1;
```

```
    C1 := Out_CS;
```

```
  end loop;
```

```
end P1;
```

```
task body P2 is
```

```
begin
```

```
  loop
```

```
    ----- non_critical_section_2;
```

```
    loop
```

```
      exit when C1 = Out_CS;
```

```
    end loop;
```

```
    C2 := In_CS;
```

```
    ----- critical_section_2;
```

```
    C2 := Out_CS;
```

```
  end loop;
```

```
end P2;
```

☞ Any better?



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Second attempt

```
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);  
C1, C2: Critical_Section_State := Out_CS;
```

```
task body P1 is  
begin  
  loop  
    ----- non_critical_section_1;  
    loop  
      exit when C2 = Out_CS;  
    end loop;  
    C1 := In_CS;  
    ----- critical_section_1;  
    C1 := Out_CS;  
  end loop;  
end P1;
```

```
task body P2 is  
begin  
  loop  
    ----- non_critical_section_2;  
    loop  
      exit when C1 = Out_CS;  
    end loop;  
    C2 := In_CS;  
    ----- critical_section_2;  
    C2 := Out_CS;  
  end loop;  
end P2;
```

👉 No mutual exclusion!



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Third attempt

```
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);
```

```
C1, C2: Critical_Section_State := Out_CS;
```

```
task body P1 is
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
----- non_critical_section_1;
```

```
C1 := In_CS;
```

```
loop
```

```
exit when C2 = Out_CS;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_1;
```

```
C1 := Out_CS;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end P1;
```

```
task body P2 is
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
----- non_critical_section_2;
```

```
C2 := In_CS;
```

```
loop
```

```
exit when C1 = Out_CS;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_2;
```

```
C2 := Out_CS;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end P2;
```

☞ Any better?



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Third attempt

```
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);
```

```
C1, C2: Critical_Section_State := Out_CS;
```

```
task body P1 is
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
----- non_critical_section_1;
```

```
C1 := In_CS;
```

```
loop
```

```
exit when C2 = Out_CS;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_1;
```

```
C1 := Out_CS;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end P1;
```

```
task body P2 is
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
----- non_critical_section_2;
```

```
C2 := In_CS;
```

```
loop
```

```
exit when C1 = Out_CS;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_2;
```

```
C2 := Out_CS;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end P2;
```

➡ Mutual exclusion!

➡ Potential deadlock!



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Forth attempt

```
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);
```

```
C1, C2: Critical_Section_State := Out_CS;
```

```
task body P1 is
```

```
begin
```

```
  loop
```

```
    ----- non_critical_section_1;
```

```
    C1 := In_CS;
```

```
    loop
```

```
      exit when C2 = Out_CS;
```

```
      C1 := Out_CS; C1 := In_CS;
```

```
    end loop;
```

```
    ----- critical_section_1;
```

```
    C1 := Out_CS;
```

```
  end loop;
```

```
end P1;
```

```
task body P2 is
```

```
begin
```

```
  loop
```

```
    ----- non_critical_section_2;
```

```
    C2 := In_CS;
```

```
    loop
```

```
      exit when C1 = Out_CS;
```

```
      C2 := Out_CS; C2 := In_CS;
```

```
    end loop;
```

```
    ----- critical_section_2;
```

```
    C2 := Out_CS;
```

```
  end loop;
```

```
end P2;
```

☞ Making any progress?



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Forth attempt

```
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);
```

```
C1, C2: Critical_Section_State := Out_CS;
```

```
task body P1 is
```

```
begin
```

```
  loop
```

```
    ----- non_critical_section_1;
```

```
    C1 := In_CS;
```

```
    loop
```

```
      exit when C2 = Out_CS;
```

```
      C1 := Out_CS; C1 := In_CS;
```

```
    end loop;
```

```
    ----- critical_section_1;
```

```
    C1 := Out_CS;
```

```
  end loop;
```

```
end P1;
```

```
task body P2 is
```

```
begin
```

```
  loop
```

```
    ----- non_critical_section_2;
```

```
    C2 := In_CS;
```

```
    loop
```

```
      exit when C1 = Out_CS;
```

```
      C2 := Out_CS; C2 := In_CS;
```

```
    end loop;
```

```
    ----- critical_section_2;
```

```
    C2 := Out_CS;
```

```
  end loop;
```

```
end P2;
```

☞ Mutual exclusion! ☞ No Deadlock!

☞ Potential starvation! ☞ Potential global livelock!



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Decker's Algorithm

```
type Task_Range is mod 2;
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);
CSS : array (Task_Range) of Critical_Section_State := (others => Out_CS);
Turn : Task_Range := Task_Range'First;

task type One_Of_Two_Tasks
    (this_Task : Task_Range);

task body One_Of_Two_Tasks is
    other_Task : Task_Range
                := this_Task + 1;
begin
    ----- non_critical_section

    CSS (this_Task) := In_CS;
loop
    exit when
        CSS (other_Task) = Out_CS;
    if Turn = other_Task then
        CSS (this_Task) := Out_CS;
        loop
            exit when Turn = this_Task;
        end loop;
        CSS (this_Task) := In_CS;
    end if;
end loop;
----- critical_section
CSS (this_Task) := Out_CS;
Turn := other_Task;
end One_Of_Two_Tasks;
```




Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Decker's Algorithm

☞ Two tasks only!

```
type Task_Range is mod 2;
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);
CSS : array (Task_Range) of Critical_Section_State := (others => Out_CS);
Turn : Task_Range := Task_Range'First;

task type One_Of_Two_Tasks
    (this_Task : Task_Range);

task body One_Of_Two_Tasks is
    other_Task : Task_Range
                := this_Task + 1;
begin
    ----- non_critical_section

    CSS (this_Task) := In_CS;
loop
    exit when
        CSS (other_Task) = Out_CS;
    if Turn = other_Task then
        CSS (this_Task) := Out_CS;
        loop
            exit when Turn = this_Task;
        end loop;
        CSS (this_Task) := In_CS;
    end if;
end loop;
----- critical_section
CSS (this_Task) := Out_CS;
Turn := other_Task;
end One_Of_Two_Tasks;
```

☞ Mutual exclusion! ☞ No starvation!
☞ No deadlock! ☞ No livelock!



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Peterson's Algorithm

```
type Task_Range is mod 2;
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);
CSS : array (Task_Range) of Critical_Section_State := (others => Out_CS);
Last : Task_Range := Task_Range'First;

task type One_Of_Two_Tasks
    (this_Task : Task_Range);

task body One_Of_Two_Tasks is
    other_Task : Task_Range
                := this_Task + 1;
begin
    ----- non_critical_section

    CSS (this_Task) := In_CS;
    Last := this_Task;
    loop
        exit when
            CSS (other_Task) = Out_CS
            or else Last /= this_Task;
    end loop;
    ----- critical section
    CSS (this_Task) := Out_CS;
end One_Of_Two_Tasks;
```



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Peterson's Algorithm

☞ Two tasks only!

```
type Task_Range is mod 2;  
type Critical_Section_State is (In_CS, Out_CS);  
CSS : array (Task_Range) of Critical_Section_State := (others => Out_CS);  
Last : Task_Range := Task_Range'First;
```

```
task type One_Of_Two_Tasks  
    (this_Task : Task_Range);
```

```
task body One_Of_Two_Tasks is  
    other_Task : Task_Range  
                := this_Task + 1;  
  
    begin  
        ----- non_critical_section
```

☞ Mutual exclusion! ☞ No starvation!
☞ No deadlock! ☞ No livelock!

```
        CSS (this_Task) := In_CS;  
        Last := this_Task;  
        loop  
            exit when  
                CSS (other_Task) = Out_CS  
                or else Last /= this_Task;  
        end loop;  
        ----- critical_section  
        CSS (this_Task) := Out_CS;  
    end One_Of_Two_Tasks;
```



Mutual Exclusion

Problem specification

The general mutual exclusion scenario

- **N** processes execute (infinite) instruction sequences concurrently. Each instruction belongs to either a *critical* or *non-critical* section.

☞ Safety property '**Mutual exclusion**':

Instructions from *critical sections* of two or more processes must never be interleaved!

- More required properties:
 - **No deadlocks**: If one or multiple processes try to enter their critical sections then *exactly one* of them *must succeed*.
 - **No starvation**: *Every process* which tries to enter one of his critical sections *must succeed eventually*.
 - **Efficiency**: The decision which process may enter the critical section must be made *efficiently* in all cases, i.e. also when there is no contention.



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Bakery Algorithm

The idea of the Bakery Algorithm

A set of N Processes $P_1 \dots P_N$ competing for mutually exclusive execution of their critical regions. Every process P_i out of $P_1 \dots P_N$ supplies: a globally readable number t_i ('ticket') (initialized to '0').

- Before a process P_i enters a critical section:
 - P_i draws a new number $t_i > t_j; \forall j \neq i$
 - P_i is allowed to enter the critical section iff: $\forall j \neq i: t_i < t_j$ or $t_j = 0$
- After a process left a critical section:
 - P_i resets its $t_i = 0$

Issues:

- ☞ Can you ensure that processes won't read each others ticket numbers while still calculating?
- ☞ Can you ensure that no two processes draw the same number?



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Bakery Algorithm

```
No_Of_Tasks : constant Positive := ...;
type Task_Range is mod No_Of_Tasks;
Choosing : array (Task_Range) of Boolean := (others => False);
Ticket    : array (Task_Range) of Natural := (others => 0);

task type P (this_id: Task_Range);
task body P is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_1;
    Choosing (this_id) := True;
    Ticket (this_id) := Max (Ticket) + 1;
    Choosing (this_id) := False;
    for id in Task_Range loop
      if id /= this_id then
        loop
          exit when not Choosing (id);
        end loop;
      end if;
    end loop;
    ----- critical_section_1;
    Ticket (this_id) := 0;
  end loop;
end P;
```



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: Bakery Algorithm

```
No_Of_Tasks : constant Positive := ...;
```

```
type Task_Range is mod No_Of_Tasks;
```

```
Choosing : array (Task_Range) of Boolean := (others => False);
```

```
Ticket : array (Task_Range) of Natural := (others => 0);
```

```
task type P (this_id: Task_Range);
```

```
task body P is
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
----- non_critical_section_1;
```

```
Choosing (this_id) := True; Extensive and communication
```

```
Ticket (this_id) := Max (Ticket (this_id), 1); intensive protocol
```

```
Choosing (this_id) := False; (even if there is no contention)
```

```
for id in Task_Range loop
```

```
if id /= this_id then
```

```
loop
```

```
exit when not Choosing (id);
```

```
end loop;
```

```
loop
```

```
exit when
```

```
Ticket (id) = 0
```

```
or else
```

```
Ticket (this_id) < Ticket (id)
```

```
or else
```

```
Ticket (this_id) = Ticket (id)
```

```
and then this_id < id);
```

```
end if;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_1;
```

```
Ticket (this_id) := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end P;
```

➡ Mutual exclusion!

➡ No deadlock!

➡ No starvation!

➡ No livelock!

➡ Works for N processes!



Mutual Exclusion

Beyond atomic memory access

Realistic hardware support

Atomic **test-and-set** operations:

- $[L := C; C := 1]$

Atomic **exchange** operations:

- $[\text{Temp} := L; L := C; C := \text{Temp}]$

Memory cell **reservations**:

- $L : \overset{R}{=} C;$ – read by using a *special instruction*, which puts a ‘reservation’ on C
- ... calculate a <new value> for C ...
- $C : \overset{T}{=} \text{<new value>;}$
 - succeeds iff C was not manipulated by other processors or devices since the reservation



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: atomic test-and-set operation

```
type Flag is Natural range 0..1; C : Flag := 0;
```

```
task body Pi is
```

```
L : Flag;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
[L := C; C := 1];
```

```
exit when L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_i;
```

```
C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pi;
```

```
task body Pj is
```

```
L : Flag;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
[L := C; C := 1];
```

```
exit when L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_j;
```

```
C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pj;
```

☞ Does that work?



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: atomic test-and-set operation

```
type Flag is Natural range 0..1; C : Flag := 0;
```

```
task body Pi is
```

```
L : Flag;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
[L := C; C := 1];
```

```
exit when L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_i;
```

```
C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pi;
```

```
task body Pj is
```

```
L : Flag;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
[L := C; C := 1];
```

```
exit when L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_j;
```

```
C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pj;
```

☞ Mutual exclusion!, No deadlock!, No global live-lock!

☞ Works for any dynamic number of processes.

☞ Individual starvation possible! Busy waiting loops!



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: atomic exchange operation

```
type Flag is Natural range 0..1; C : Flag := 0;
```

```
task body Pi is
```

```
L : Flag := 1;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
[Temp := L; L := C; C := Temp];
```

```
exit when L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_i;
```

```
L := 1; C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pi;
```

```
task body Pj is
```

```
L : Flag := 1;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
[Temp := L; L := C; C := Temp];
```

```
exit when L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_j;
```

```
L := 1; C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pj;
```

☞ Does that work?



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: atomic exchange operation

```
type Flag is Natural range 0..1; C : Flag := 0;
```

```
task body Pi is
```

```
L : Flag := 1;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
[Temp := L; L := C; C := Temp];
```

```
exit when L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_i;
```

```
L := 1; C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pi;
```

```
task body Pj is
```

```
L : Flag := 1;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
[Temp := L; L := C; C := Temp];
```

```
exit when L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_j;
```

```
L := 1; C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pj;
```

☞ Mutual exclusion!, No deadlock!, No global live-lock!

☞ Works for any dynamic number of processes.

☞ Individual starvation possible! Busy waiting loops!



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: memory cell reservation

```
type Flag is Natural range 0..1; C : Flag := 0;
```

```
task body Pi is
```

```
L : Flag;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
L :  $\overset{R}{=}$ C; C :  $\overset{T}{=}$  1;
```

```
exit when Untouched and L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_i;
```

```
C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pi;
```

```
task body Pj is
```

```
L : Flag;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
L :  $\overset{R}{=}$ C; C :  $\overset{T}{=}$  1;
```

```
exit when Untouched and L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_j;
```

```
C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pj;
```

☞ Does that work?



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion: memory cell reservation

```
type Flag is Natural range 0..1; C : Flag := 0;
```

```
task body Pi is
```

```
L : Flag;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
L : $\overset{R}{=}$ C; C : $\overset{T}{=}$  1;
```

```
exit when Untouched and L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_i;
```

```
C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pi;
```

Any context switch
needs to clear
reservations

```
task body Pj is
```

```
L : Flag;
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
loop
```

```
L : $\overset{R}{=}$ C; C : $\overset{T}{=}$  1;
```

```
exit when Untouched and L = 0;
```

```
----- change process
```

```
end loop;
```

```
----- critical_section_j;
```

```
C := 0;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pj;
```

☞ Mutual exclusion!, No deadlock!, No global live-lock!

☞ Works for any dynamic number of processes.

☞ Individual starvation possible! Busy waiting loops!



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion ... or the lack thereof

```
Count : Integer := 0;
```

```
task body Enter is
begin
  for i := 1 .. 100 loop
    Count := Count + 1;
  end loop;
end Enter;
```

```
task body Leave is
begin
  for i := 1 .. 100 loop
    Count := Count - 1;
  end loop;
end Leave;
```

☞ What is the value of Count after both programs complete?

Count: **.word** 0x00000000

```
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_enter
```

```
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_leave
```

Negotiate who goes first

```
ldr r2, [r4]
add r2, #1
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

```
ldr r2, [r4]
sub r2, #1
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

Indicate critical section completed

```
add r1, #1
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
add r1, #1
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Count: `.word 0x00000000`
Lock: `.word 0x00000000 ; #0 means unlocked`

```
ldr r3, =Lock
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_enter
```

fail_enter:

```
ldr r0, [r3]
cbnz r0, fail_enter ; if locked
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]
add r2, #1
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Lock
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_leave
```

fail_leave:

```
ldr r0, [r3]
cbnz r0, fail_leave ; if locked
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]
sub r2, #1
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Count: **.word** 0x00000000
Lock: **.word** 0x00000000 ; #0 means unlocked

```
ldr r3, =Lock
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_enter
```

fail_enter:

```
ldr r0, [r3]
cbnz r0, fail_enter ; if locked
mov r0, #1 ; lock value
str r0, [r3] ; lock
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]
add r2, #1
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Lock
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_leave
```

fail_leave:

```
ldr r0, [r3]
cbnz r0, fail_leave ; if locked
mov r0, #1 ; lock value
str r0, [r3] ; lock
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]
sub r2, #1
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Count: **.word** 0x00000000
Lock: **.word** 0x00000000 ; #0 means unlocked

```
ldr r3, =Lock  
ldr r4, =Count  
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100  
bgt end_for_enter
```

fail_enter:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]  
cbnz r0, fail_enter ; if locked  
mov r0, #1 ; lock value  
strex r0, [r3] ; try lock  
cbnz r0, fail_enter ; if touched  
dmb ; sync memory
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]  
add r2, #1  
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

```
add r1, #1  
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Lock  
ldr r4, =Count  
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100  
bgt end_for_leave
```

fail_leave:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]  
cbnz r0, fail_leave ; if locked  
mov r0, #1 ; lock value  
strex r0, [r3] ; try lock  
cbnz r0, fail_leave ; if touched  
dmb ; sync memory
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]  
sub r2, #1  
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

```
add r1, #1  
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Any context switch
needs to clear
reservations

Count: **.word** 0x00000000
Lock: **.word** 0x00000000 ; #0 means unlocked

```
ldr r3, =Lock  
ldr r4, =Count  
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100  
bgt end_for_enter
```

fail_enter:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]  
cbnz r0, fail_enter ; if locked  
mov r0, #1 ; lock value  
strex r0, [r3] ; try lock  
cbnz r0, fail_enter ; if touched  
dmb ; sync memory
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]  
add r2, #1  
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

```
dmb ; sync memory  
mov r0, #0 ; unlock value  
str r0, [r3] ; unlock
```

```
add r1, #1  
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Lock  
ldr r4, =Count  
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100  
bgt end_for_leave
```

fail_leave:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]  
cbnz r0, fail_leave ; if locked  
mov r0, #1 ; lock value  
strex r0, [r3] ; try lock  
cbnz r0, fail_leave ; if touched  
dmb ; sync memory
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]  
sub r2, #1  
str r2, [r4]
```

Critical section

```
dmb ; sync memory  
mov r0, #0 ; unlock value  
str r0, [r3] ; unlock
```

```
add r1, #1  
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Any context switch
needs to clear
reservations

Count: **.word** 0x00000000
Lock: **.word** 0x00000000 ; #0 means unlocked

```
ldr r3, =Lock
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

```
for_enter:
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_enter
```

```
fail_enter:
ldrex r0, [r3]
cbnz r0, fail_enter ; if locked
mov r0, #1 ; lock value
strex r0, [r3] ; try lock
cbnz r0, fail_enter ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]
add r2, #1
str r2, [r4]
```

```
dmb ; sync memory
mov r0, #0 ; unlock value
str r0, [r3] ; unlock
```

```
add r1, #1
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Lock
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

```
for_leave:
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_leave
```

```
fail_leave:
ldrex r0, [r3]
cbnz r0, fail_leave ; if locked
mov r0, #1 ; lock value
strex r0, [r3] ; try lock
cbnz r0, fail_leave ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

```
ldr r2, [r4]
sub r2, #1
str r2, [r4]
```

```
dmb ; sync memory
mov r0, #0 ; unlock value
str r0, [r3] ; unlock
```

```
add r1, #1
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Any context switch
needs to clear
reservations

Asks for permission

Critical section

Critical section



Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion

Count: **.word** 0x00000000

Asks for forgiveness

```
ldr    r4, =Count
mov    r1, #1
for_enter:
cmp    r1, #100
bgt    end_for_enter
```

Any context switch
needs to clear
reservations

```
ldr    r4, =Count
mov    r1, #1
for_leave:
cmp    r1, #100
bgt    end_for_leave
```

```
enter_strex_fail:
ldrex r2, [r4] ; tag [r4] as exclusive
add   r2, #1
strex r2, [r4] ; only if untouched
cbnz  r2, enter_strex_fail
add   r1, #1
b     for_enter
end_for_enter:
```

```
leave_strex_fail:
ldrex r2, [r4] ; tag [r4] as exclusive
sub   r2, #1
strex r2, [r4] ; only if untouched
cbnz  r2, leave_strex_fail
add   r1, #1
b     for_leave
end_for_leave:
```

☞ Light weight solution – sometimes referred to as “lock-free” or “lockless”.



Mutual Exclusion

Beyond atomic hardware operations

Semaphores

Basic definition (Dijkstra 1968)

Assuming the following three conditions on a shared memory cell between processes:

- a set of processes agree on a variable S operating as a flag to indicate synchronization conditions
- an atomic operation P on S — for ‘passeren’ (Dutch for ‘pass’):
 $P(S): [as\ soon\ as\ S > 0\ then\ S := S - 1]$ \Rightarrow this is a potentially delaying operation
- an atomic operation V on S — for ‘vrygeven’ (Dutch for ‘to release’):
 $V(S): [S := S + 1]$

\Rightarrow then the variable S is called a **Semaphore**.



Mutual Exclusion

Beyond atomic hardware operations

Semaphores

... as supplied by operating systems and runtime environments

- a set of processes $P_1 \dots P_N$ agree on a variable S operating as a flag to indicate synchronization conditions
- an atomic operation **Wait** on S : (aka 'Suspend_Until_True', 'sem_wait', ...)

Process P_i : **Wait** (S):

```
[if  $S > 0$  then  $S := S - 1$   
else suspend  $P_i$  on  $S$ ]
```

- an atomic operation **Signal** on S : (aka 'Set_True', 'sem_post', ...)

Process P_i : **Signal** (S):

```
[if  $\exists P_j$  suspended on  $S$  then release  $P_j$   
else  $S := S + 1$ ]
```

☞ *then the variable S is called a Semaphore in a scheduling environment.*



Mutual Exclusion

Beyond atomic hardware operations

Semaphores

Types of semaphores:

- **Binary semaphores:** restricted to [0, 1] or [False, True] resp. Multiple V (Signal) calls have the same effect than a single call.
 - Atomic hardware operations support binary semaphores.
 - Binary semaphores are sufficient to create all other semaphore forms.
 - **General semaphores** (counting semaphores): non-negative number; (range limited by the system) P and V increment and decrement the semaphore by one.
 - **Quantity semaphores:** The increment (and decrement) value for the semaphore is specified as a parameter with P and V.
- ☞ All types of semaphores must be initialized:
often the number of processes which are allowed inside a critical section, i.e. '1'.

Count: **.word** 0x00000000

Sema: **.word** 0x00000001

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_enter
```

wait_1:

```
ldr r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_1 ; if Semaphore = 0
```

...

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_leave
```

wait_2:

```
ldr r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_2 ; if Semaphore = 0
```

...

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Count: **.word** 0x00000000

Sema: **.word** 0x00000001

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_enter
```

wait_1:

```
ldr r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_1 ; if Semaphore = 0
sub r0, #1 ; dec Semaphore
str r0, [r3] ; update
```

...

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_leave
```

wait_2:

```
ldr r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_2 ; if Semaphore = 0
sub r0, #1 ; dec Semaphore
str r0, [r3] ; update
```

...

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Count: **.word** 0x00000000

Sema: **.word** 0x00000001

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_enter
```

wait_1:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_1 ; if Semaphore = 0
sub r0, #1 ; dec Semaphore
strex r0, [r3] ; try update
cbnz r0, wait_1 ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

...

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_leave
```

wait_2:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_2 ; if Semaphore = 0
sub r0, #1 ; dec Semaphore
strex r0, [r3] ; try update
cbnz r0, wait_2 ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

...

Critical section

```
add r1, #1
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Any context switch
needs to clear
reservations

Count: **.word** 0x00000000

Sema: **.word** 0x00000001

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_enter
```

wait_1:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_1 ; if Semaphore = 0
sub r0, #1 ; dec Semaphore
strex r0, [r3] ; try update
cbnz r0, wait_1 ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

...

```
ldr r0, [r3]
add r0, #1 ; inc Semaphore
str r0, [r3] ; update
```

```
add r1, #1
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_leave
```

wait_2:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_2 ; if Semaphore = 0
sub r0, #1 ; dec Semaphore
strex r0, [r3] ; try update
cbnz r0, wait_2 ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

...

```
ldr r0, [r3]
add r0, #1 ; inc Semaphore
str r0, [r3] ; update
```

```
add r1, #1
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Any context switch
needs to clear
reservations

Critical section

Critical section

Count: **.word** 0x00000000

Sema: **.word** 0x00000001

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_enter:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_enter
```

wait_1:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_1 ; if Semaphore = 0
sub r0, #1 ; dec Semaphore
strex r0, [r3] ; try update
cbnz r0, wait_1 ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

...

signal_1:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]
add r0, #1 ; inc Semaphore
strex r0, [r3] ; try update
cbnz r0, signal_1 ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

```
add r1, #1
b for_enter
```

end_for_enter:

```
ldr r3, =Sema
ldr r4, =Count
mov r1, #1
```

for_leave:

```
cmp r1, #100
bgt end_for_leave
```

wait_2:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]
cbz r0, wait_2 ; if Semaphore = 0
sub r0, #1 ; dec Semaphore
strex r0, [r3] ; try update
cbnz r0, wait_2 ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

...

signal_2:

```
ldrex r0, [r3]
add r0, #1 ; inc Semaphore
strex r0, [r3] ; try update
cbnz r0, signal_2 ; if touched
dmb ; sync memory
```

```
add r1, #1
b for_leave
```

end_for_leave:

Any context switch
needs to clear
reservations

Critical section

Critical section



Mutual Exclusion

Semaphores

```
S : Semaphore := 1;
```

```
task body Pi is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_i;
    wait (S);
    ----- critical_section_i;
    signal (S);
  end loop;
end Pi;
```

```
task body Pj is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_j;
    wait (S);
    ----- critical_section_j;
    signal (S);
  end loop;
end Pj;
```

👉 Works?



Mutual Exclusion

Semaphores

```
S : Semaphore := 1;
```

```
task body Pi is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_i;
    wait (S);
    ----- critical_section_i;
    signal (S);
  end loop;
end Pi;
```

```
task body Pj is
begin
  loop
    ----- non_critical_section_j;
    wait (S);
    ----- critical_section_j;
    signal (S);
  end loop;
end Pj;
```

- ☞ Mutual exclusion!, No deadlock!, No global live-lock!
- ☞ Works for any dynamic number of processes
- ☞ Individual starvation possible!



Mutual Exclusion

Semaphores

```
S1, S2 : Semaphore := 1;
```

```
task body Pi is
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
----- non_critical_section_i;
```

```
wait (S1);
```

```
wait (S2);
```

```
----- critical_section_i;
```

```
signal (S2);
```

```
signal (S1);
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pi;
```

```
task body Pj is
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
----- non_critical_section_j;
```

```
wait (S2);
```

```
wait (S1);
```

```
----- critical_section_j;
```

```
signal (S1);
```

```
signal (S2);
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pj;
```

☞ Works too?



Mutual Exclusion

Semaphores

```
S1, S2 : Semaphore := 1;
```

```
task body Pi is
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
----- non_critical_section_i;
```

```
wait (S1);
```

```
wait (S2);
```

```
----- critical_section_i;
```

```
signal (S2);
```

```
signal (S1);
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pi;
```

```
task body Pj is
```

```
begin
```

```
loop
```

```
----- non_critical_section_j;
```

```
wait (S2);
```

```
wait (S1);
```

```
----- critical_section_j;
```

```
signal (S1);
```

```
signal (S2);
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end Pj;
```

- ☞ Mutual exclusion!, No global live-lock!
- ☞ Works for any dynamic number of processes.
- ☞ Individual starvation possible!
- ☞ Deadlock possible!



Mutual Exclusion

Summary

Mutual Exclusion

- **Definition of mutual exclusion**
- **Atomic load and atomic store operations**
 - ... some classical errors
 - Decker's algorithm, Peterson's algorithm
 - Bakery algorithm
- **Realistic hardware support**
 - Atomic test-and-set, Atomic exchanges, Memory cell reservations
- **Semaphores**
 - Basic semaphore definition
 - Operating systems style semaphores